



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/383,145	08/26/1999	JOSEPH MICHAEL KNOERLE	BS99-010	6171

7590

12/13/2002

POH C CHUA ESQ
SHAW PITTMAN
2300 N. STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

LE, KAREN L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2642

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/383,145	KNOERLE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karen Le	2642	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Karen Le.

(3) Poh Chua

(2) Ahmad MATAR

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12/12/2002

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all independent claims

Identification of prior art discussed: Harlow (US Pat 5,206,901) & Eisodorfer (US Pat 5,706,339)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (see below)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant's representative discussed the claimed invention and stated that Harlow did not teach "generating an outgoing call ... by the service node". Examiners agreed with applicant.

Applicant will submit a request for reconsideration and/or an amendment. Examiners provided applicant with a copy of a new potential reference (Eisodorfer) and informed applicant that the claims as recited may read on call transfer/forward.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Ahmad Matar
Examiner's signature, if required